

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,583	07/07/2003	Kevin L. Parsons	89535	7657
24628 759	0 08/17/2006		EXAM	INER
WELSH & KA	WELSH & KATZ, LTD WARD, JOHN A			
120 S RIVERSII 22ND FLOOR	DE PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606		2875	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,58	33	PARSONS, KEVIN L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	John A. W	ard ard	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever action. ry period will apply and wi by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>15 June 2006</u> .					
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>78-122</u> is/are pending in the a	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>78-122</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>78-122</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A44						
Attachment(s)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO			Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summa	ry P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20060808			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 78-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: Regarding claims 78-80, 82 and 121-122, It is not structurally clear how the "flashlight component" relates to the LED, flashlight and power source, it is clearly defined in the specifications to what is meant by the term "flashlight component".

Claims 81, 83-120 are rejected because of its dependency upon claim 78.

As best understood regarding claims 1-123 the following rejection is given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 78-79 and 83-91, 93 and 94-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lennon et al (US 5,210,525).

Regarding claims 78, 79 and 83-91, Lennon et al ('525) discloses a flashlight comprising of a power supply 43, a LED 23 located at a distal portion of a housing 11, the LED having a first lead, second lead, an electrically conductive member 21 providing a moveable first position and second.

Regarding claims 94-102, figure 2 shows how the power supply 43 is located at one end of the housing and the LED located at a distal end with a portion of the LED outside the housing (figure 2).

Regarding claims 93 and 103, Lennon et al teaches in column 2, lines 22-50 of the housing having a translucent plastic material, the housing in figure 1 being substantially flat and having a key ring 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 80, 82, and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennon et al.

Regarding claims 80, 82 and 92 Lennon et al discloses all the limitation of the claimed invention except for the housing being made of metal or having different colors.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the housing made of metal and having different colors, since it has be held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design preference. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Claims 114-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennon et al ('525)

Regarding claims 114-122 disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited in claims 78 and 79 above, but does not disclose the flashlight having a first member and second member.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,583 Page 5

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a first and second member, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179*.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 104-113 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Nowhere in the prior art is found a housing having a key ring of the flashlight having a key ring that is integral to the housing.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Amended claims 78-80, 82 and 121-121 has amended tht claims to include the term "flashlight component" which is neither defined in the specifications or give a clear structural limitations of how it relates to the LED, battery and housing of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2875

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,583

Art Unit: 2875

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAW August 8, 2006

JOHN ANTHONY WAR